

MINUTES OF GT BARTON PARISH COUNCIL PLANNING MEETING
MONDAY 8th MARCH 2021

Members present : Councillor Matthew Parker chaired the remote meeting, with Councillors Andy Baker, Steve Todd, Philip Reeve, Declan Gallagher and Peter Fisk.

Apologies had been received from Councillor Maggie Dunn.

3 members of the public were present.

1. No declarations of interest were received.
2. There were no requests for dispensation.
3. The Parish Council supported the following applications:

	Address	Reference	Details
a)	Holmfield, Mill Road	DC/21/0392/HH	Single-storey side extension
b)	Land adjacent to Primary School, School Road	DC/20/1719/OUT	Re-consultation : Outline planning application (all matters reserved) – 2 dwellings
c)	Site adjacent to Greenover The Park	DC/21/0235/TPO	TPO 370 (1974) tree preservation order - one Hornbeam - lateral crown reduction on southern aspect by two metres
d)	Byways, Livermere Road	DC/21/0204/FUL	Change of use from personal gym to a gym for personal training business

For item (b) Councillors have asked for clarification for the 'natural meadow area' and response received from West Suffolk Council 'The area to the rear is going to be an wild/natural meadow grassed area that will be used of the occupiers of plot 1. We are indenting to impose a permitted development restriction on this plot therefore the occupiers could not build on this space without applying for planning permission first. Therefore, preserving the listed building located to the south and keeping the development of the site following the overall grain of the village by facing onto school road and not to the rear.'

For item (d) The Council commented 'They would like the consent to be made for the current property owner and are conscious of the potential for loud music to disturb neighbours.'

The Parish Council objected to the following applications:

	Address	Reference	Details	Reason
e)	*Vazon, Mill Road	DC/21/0357 /HH	(a) Single storey rear extension to form annexe (b) single storey front extension (c) conversion of existing integral garage to playroom	Overdevelopment of the site
f)	Little Barton, East Barton Road	DC/21/0291 /TPO	TPO 426 (2006) tree preservation order - 1 Wellingtonia - fell 1. The above tree works are proposed as a remedy to the differential foundation movement at the insured property and to ensure the long-term stability of the building. 2. The above tree works are proposed to limit the extent and need for expensive and disruptive engineering repair works at the insured property. In this instance the estimated repair costs are likely to vary between £10,000 and £52,000, depending upon whether the tree can be removed or must remain. 3. The above tree works are proposed to limit the duration of any claim period and therefore allow the	A specimen tree which should not be removed.

			landowner their right to the peaceful enjoyment of their property. 4. It is the case that an alternative to felling such as pruning or significant 'pollarding' of the tree would not provide a reliable or sustainable remedy to the subsidence in this case. We do not consider that any other potential means of mitigation, including root barriers, would be effective or appropriate in the circumstances 5. We are satisfied that the evidence obtained following completion of our Arboricultural Implication Assessment report completed 10/10/2019, clearly links the T1 Redwood as the cause of damage to the risk address 6. Insurers understanding the requirement to offer replacement planting in the event consent to fell is granted	
g)	2 Beech Park	DC/21/0194 /TPO	1 Ash reduce limb over garden by 25% & 1 Ash reduction to standing stem to 10 metres above ground level	
h)	Winter Rose, 5 Beech Park	DC/21/0199 /TPO	1 Oak overall crown reduction by up to 4 metres	The Council would have no objection to the removal of the bough overhanging the annexe and balancing the bough above it
i)	**Red House Farm, Lithgo Paddock	DC/21/0157 /TPO	TPO 370 (1974) Tree preservation order - one Oak overall crown reduction by 3 metres	Councillors would like to see the crown reduction reduced to 2 metres.

* 2 Councillors voted for this application and 4 Councillors voted against.

** 4 Councillors voted against this application, 1 Councillor supported the application and 1 abstained.

All decisions were unanimous unless otherwise noted.

.....
Sign, date and print name

CHAIRMAN OF THIS MEETING

Minutes signed as confirmation that they are a true record.